

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
6 AT TACOMA

7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 KRISTOPHER KELLY NOLAN, et al.,

11 Defendant.

12 Case No. CR05-5547FDB

13 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
14 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
15 OF ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
16 DISMISS COMPLAINT

17 Before the court is Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the Order of the Honorable Judge
18 J. Kelley Arnold of July 27, 2004 denying Plaintiff's motion to dismiss the complaint in this case.
19 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and the court will ordinarily deny such motions in the
20 absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority
21 which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence. CrR 12(c)(11)(A). Plaintiff has shown neither.

22 Plaintiff seeks dismissal because it fears the "imposition of an artificially short period of time
23 to further investigate the case." The grand jury returned an indictment in this case on one of the
24 three charges submitted to it by the government. It is assumed the government investigated those
25 charges prior to submitting them to the grand jury.

26 DATED this 8th day of August, 2005.



23 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25
26 ORDER - 1